

THE ASYMPTOTIC NUMBER OF ACYCLIC DIGRAPHS. I

E. A. BENDER¹, L. B. RICHMOND², R. W. ROBINSON³
and N. C. WORMALD⁴

Received 26 July 1984

We obtain an asymptotic formula for $A_{n,q}$, the number of digraphs with n labeled vertices, q edges and no cycles. The derivation consists of two separate parts. In the first we analyze the generating function for $A_{n,q}$ so as to obtain a central limit theorem for an associated probability distribution. In the second part we show combinatorially that $A_{n,q}$ is a smooth function of q . By combining these results, we obtain the desired asymptotic formula.

1. Introduction

An acyclic digraph is a directed graph that contains no directed cycles. Let $A_{n,q}$ be the number of acyclic digraphs with n labeled vertices and q unlabeled edges. The generating function for $A_n = \sum_q A_{n,q}$ was obtained by Robinson [6] and Stanley [7]. They also obtained the asymptotic formula

$$(1.1) \quad A_n \sim \frac{n! 2^N}{M q^n},$$

where $N = \binom{n}{2}$, $q = 1.48807854\dots$ and $M = .57436237\dots$ (See also Liskovets [4].) In fact, Robinson [6, (19)] developed a convergent series for A_n . We extend (1.1) to $A_{n,q}$ in the following theorem, but are unable to obtain a convergent series. Throughout this paper we let N denote $\binom{n}{2}$ and let $f(x, y)$ denote $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n!(1+y)^N}$.

AMS (1980) subject classification: 05 C 30, 05 C 20.

¹ Research supported by NSF under grant MCS—8300414.

² Research supported by NSERC under grant A4067.

³ Research supported by NSF under grant MCS—8302282.

⁴ Research supported by the Australian Department of Science and Technology under the Queen Elizabeth II Fellowship Scheme, while this author was at the University of Newcastle, Australia.

Theorem 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and suppose $q = q(n)$ satisfies $\varepsilon N \leq q \leq (1 - \varepsilon)N$ for all large n . Then

$$(1.2) \quad A_{n,q} \sim n! \binom{N}{q} \frac{e^{-w^2 r}}{f(vq, r) q^{n+1}}$$

where

$$r = \frac{q}{N-q}, \quad v = \frac{N-q}{N}, \quad w = \frac{vqf(v^2q, r)}{2f(vq, r)}$$

and $q = q(r) > 0$ is the smallest solution of the equation $f(q, r) = 0$.

Properties of f which ensure the existence of $f(vq, r)$, $f(v^2q, r)$ and $q(r)$ are deferred to the next section.

Stanley [7] observed that $A_{n,q} = M_{n,q}(-1)$, the sum of the chromatic polynomials of all the (n, q) -graphs evaluated at -1 ; however, (1.2) differs considerably from the asymptotics for $M_{n,q}(k)$ with $k > 0$, obtained by Wright [8]. This is to be expected because the generating function for $M_{n,q}(k)$ has the form $f(x, y)^k$, which has poles when $k < 0$ and not when $k > 0$.

In the next section we summarize some known results concerning the generating function for $A_{n,q}$. Using these results in Section 3, we obtain a central limit theorem for the random variable $X_n(r)$ where $\Pr \{X_n(r) = m\}$ is proportional to $A_{n,m} r^m$. We do not have enough information about the generating function to obtain a local limit theorem. In Section 4 we prove combinatorially that $A_{n,q+k} \sim A_{n,q} r^{-k}$ whenever $k = o(n)$. Finally, we combine our analytic and combinatorial results to prove a local limit theorem and thus Theorem 1. Local limit theorems have been used previously to obtain asymptotic results for enumeration problems; however, this is the first instance we are aware of where it was found necessary and possible to combine an analytically derived central limit theorem with a purely combinatorial argument.

2. Properties of the generating function

Define

$$A_n(y) = \sum_{q=0}^N A_{n,q} y^q \quad \text{and} \quad A(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n(y) x^n}{n! (1+y)^N}.$$

Robinson [6, (10) and Cor. 1] proved that

$$A(x, y) = \frac{1}{f(x, y)}.$$

The function $f(x, y)$ is analytic at all (x, y) such that $|1+y| > 1$. For convenience set $\lambda = 1/(1+y)$. It is easily seen that

$$(2.1) \quad f_x(x, y) = -f(\lambda x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad f_y(x, y) = -\lambda^2 x^2 f(\lambda^2 x, y)/2.$$

Let t be any positive real number. By results of Pólya and Schur [5] and Laguerre [3], the zeros of $f(x, t)$ are all distinct positive reals. For details see [6, pp. 256–258]. Only the case $t=1$ was dealt with there, but the extension to positive real t is immediate.

For every complex y with $|1+y|>1$, we may let $x=q(y)$ be a zero of $f(x, y)$ of least absolute value (since $f(x, y) \neq 0$ its set of zeros cannot have a finite limit point). For any set R of real numbers, let R_δ be those complex numbers y with $|y-r|<\delta$ for some $r \in R$.

Lemma 1. Let $C(y)=1/f(\lambda q(y), y)q(y)$ and let R be a closed subinterval of $(0, \infty)$. There is a $\delta>0$ such that whenever $y \in R_\delta$ and $|x| \leq |q(y)| + \delta$

$$(2.2) \quad h(x, y) := A(x, y) - \frac{C(y)}{1-x/q(y)}$$

is analytic for $x \neq q(y)$ and can be extended to be analytic for $x=q(y)$.

Proof. We can assume that $|x|$ is bounded. Since f is continuous, R is compact and (as already noted) $f(x, y)$ has distinct roots for $y \in R$, it follows that $f(x, y)$ has distinct roots whenever $y \in R_\delta$ and $\delta>0$ is sufficiently small. Since the supremum over $y \in R$ of

$$\{|x| - |q(y)| : x \neq q(y) \text{ and } f(x, y) = 0\}$$

is positive, the same is true for the supremum over $y \in R_\delta$. Hence, for all sufficiently small δ , we have that if $y \in R_\delta$, $|x| \leq |q(y)| + \delta$ and $f(x, y) = 0$, then $x = q(y)$. Thus $q(y)$ is well defined. Since $|\lambda| < 1$, it follows from (2.1) that $f_x(q(y), y) \neq 0$ and so $q(y)$ is analytic by the implicit function theorem. Hence $h(x, y)$ is analytic for $x \neq q(y)$.

Since $f_x(q(y), y)$ and $f_y(q(y), y)$ are both non-zero, the singularity of $A(x, y)$ at $x=q(y)$ is a simple pole when x or y is fixed. We have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow q(y)} (1-x/q(y))A(x, y) = -1/q(y)f_x(q(y), y) = C(y)$$

and thus h is analytic in x for fixed $y \in R_\delta$. Implicit differentiation of $f(q, y)=0$ yields

$$(2.3) \quad f_x(q(y), y)q'(y) = -f_y(q(y), y)$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow y_0} (1-y/y_0)h(q(y_0), y) = 0.$$

Thus h is analytic in y for fixed x . It follows by the theorem of Hartogs that h can be made analytic at $x=q(y)$ (see Hörmander [2] for example). ■

3. The central limit theorem

Let $X_n(r)$ be a random variable with $\Pr \{X_n(r)=m\}$ proportional to $A_{n,m}r^m$. The purpose of this section is to prove:

Lemma 2. Suppose that $q=q(n)$ with $0 < \varepsilon < q/N < 1 - \varepsilon$, $r=q/(N-q)$ and $q(r)$ is as in Theorem 1. There is a function $K=K(n)=o(n)$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{K} \sum_{m=q}^{q+K-1} \Pr \{X_n(r) = m\} \sim \frac{e^{-v^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_n(r)^2}},$$

where

$$v = \frac{nrq'(r)}{\sigma_n(r)q(r)}, \quad \sigma_n(r)^2 = \frac{Nr}{(1+r)^2}$$

and the rate of convergence depends on ε .

Let

$$\mu_n(r) = \frac{Nr}{1+r} - \frac{nrq'(r)}{q(r)}.$$

We will show that the characteristic function of

$$Y_n(r) = \frac{X_n(r) - \mu_n(r)}{\sigma_n(r)}$$

converges pointwise to $e^{-t^2/2}$. This convergence is uniform for all $r=r(n) \in R$, an arbitrary closed subinterval of $(0, \infty)$. Using a central limit theorem for $Y_n(r)$, we then establish the lemma.

We now show that the characteristic function of $Y_n(r)$ converges to $e^{-t^2/2}$. Let $g_n(t) = \log A_n(re^{it})$. The logarithm of the characteristic function of $X_n(r)$ is

$$(3.2) \quad g_n(t) - g_n(0) = g'_n(0)t + g''_n(0)t^2/2 + O(Mt^3),$$

where M is the maximum modulus of $g_n^{(3)}(u)$ for $0 \leq u \leq t$ and $r \in R$. By Lemma 1, the k th derivative of $A_n(y)$ is the sum, for $j=0$ to k , of

$$n! \binom{k}{j} \left(\left(\frac{d}{dy} \right)^{k-j} (1+y)^N \right) \left(\left(\frac{d}{dy} \right)^j (C(y)q(y)^{-n}) + [x^n] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^j h(x, y) \right),$$

where $[x^n]a(x, y)$ is the coefficient of x^n in $a(x, y)$. Also by Lemma 1 the radius of convergence of $(\partial/\partial y)^j h(x, y)$ is at least $q(y) + \delta$ for $y \in R$. For any closed subset R' of R_δ there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $q(y) + \delta \geq q(y)(1 + \delta_1)$ for all $y \in R'$. Hence for such y the contribution to a derivative of $A_n(y)$ from h is exponentially smaller than the other term, so we may ignore it asymptotically. We find that for $re^{it} \in R'$,

$$g'_n(t) = \frac{iNr}{r + e^{-it}} - \frac{inre^{it}q'(re^{it})}{q(re^{it})} + O(1)$$

$$g''_n(t) = \frac{Nre^{-it}}{(r + e^{-it})^2} + O(n)$$

$$g_n^{(3)}(t) = O(n^2).$$

By (3.2),

$$g_n(t) - g_n(0) = i\mu_n(r)t - \sigma_n(r)^2 t^2/2 + o(1),$$

when $t = o(n^{-2/3})$ uniformly for $r \in R$. The characteristic function of $Y_n(r)$ is

$$\exp \left(g_n \left(\frac{t}{\sigma_n(r)} \right) - \frac{i\mu_n(r)t}{\sigma_n(r)} - g(0) \right) \sim e^{-t^2/2}$$

provided $t = o(n^{-2/3}\sigma_n(r))$. This constraint allows $t \rightarrow \infty$ since $\sigma_n(r)$ grows like n . This proves the pointwise convergence of the characteristic function, uniformly in r .

The continuity theorem [1, p. 52, Thm 2] states, in the real case, that if $d_n(t)$ is the characteristic function of a distribution function F_n and $d_n(t)$ converges pointwise to $d(t)$ then $F_n(x)$ converges for each real x to a distribution function with characteristic function $d(t)$. Hence we have a central limit theorem for $Y_n(r)$; that is, the distribution function $F_{n,r}(x)$ of $Y_n(r)$ converges pointwise in x , uniformly in y , to the distribution function for a normal distribution of mean 0 and variance 1. Since the latter function is uniformly continuous, Theorem 1 of [1, §9] implies the convergence of the distribution function is also uniform in x . Thus

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{m=a}^b \Pr \{X_n(r) = m\} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t(a)}^{t(b)} e^{-x^2/2} dx = o(1),$$

where $t(k) = (k - \mu_n(r))/\sigma_n(r)$. This is uniform in a, b and r . There is some function $s(n) = o(1)$ such that (3.3) is valid with $o(1)$ replaced by $o(s(n))$. Define r by $q = Nr/(1+r)$. Let $a = q$ and $K = \lceil n\sqrt{s(n)} \rceil$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{m=q}^{q+K-1} \Pr \{X_n(r) = m\} = \frac{1}{K\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t(q)}^{t(q+K)} e^{-x^2/2} dx + o(s(n)/K).$$

Since $s(n)/K = o(1/n)$, $t(q+K)^2 \sim t(q)^2 = O(1)$ and $t(q+K) - t(q) = K/\sigma_n(r)$, the lemma follows.

4. The combinatorial argument

Our goal in this section is to prove

Lemma 3. *Let $K(n) = o(n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. If $n, q \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $\varepsilon < q/N < 1 - \varepsilon$,*

$$A_{n, q+k} \sim \left(\frac{N-q}{q} \right)^k A_{n, q}$$

uniformly for $|k| < K(n)$ at a rate depending on $K(n)$ and ε .

We begin with some notation. The set of all ordered partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is P_n . For $\pi \in P_n$, let $|\pi| = s$ be the number of blocks of π , let $B_i = B_i(\pi)$, ($i = 1, \dots, s$) be the blocks of π , and let $b_i = |B_i|$. Let

$$g(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{b_i}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad h(\pi) = g(\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} b_i b_{i+1}.$$

Let D be an acyclic digraph with n vertices. We associate with D the ordered partition $\pi(D)$ of the vertices of D defined inductively as follows: $D_1 = D$, B_1 is the set of sources (vertices with degree 0) of D_1 and $D_{i+1} = D_i - B_i$. The *tower* of D , $T(D)$, is the vertices of D with all the arcs from $B_i(\pi(D))$ to $B_{i+1}(\pi(D))$ for $i \geq 1$. Let $q(T)$ denote the number of arcs in $T = T(D)$. For simplicity, we use expressions

like $g(D)$ instead of $g(\pi(D))$. Note that $q(T) \leq h(T) - g(T)$, $g(T(D)) = g(D)$ and $h(T(D)) = h(D)$.

We will show first that a linear bound on $g(T)$ leads to linear bounds on $h(T)$ and $q(T)$. Next we show that most acyclic digraphs have small $g(D)$. Finally, these are combined to prove the lemma.

Suppose that T is the tower of a digraph on n vertices with $g(T) \leq C_1 n$. By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for b_i^2 and b_{i+1}^2 ,

$$b_i b_{i+1} \leq \binom{b_i}{2} + \frac{1}{2} b_i + \binom{b_{i+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} b_{i+1}.$$

Thus

$$h(T) - g(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} b_i b_{i+1} < 2 \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{b_i}{2} + n \leq (2C_1 + 1)n.$$

It follows that

$$(4.1) \quad q(T) < (2C_1 + 1)n \quad \text{and} \quad h(T) < (3C_1 + 1)n.$$

Suppose that $0 < \varepsilon < m/N < 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $n > n_0$. We will show that there is a $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon, n_0)$ such that the fraction of acyclic (n, m) -digraphs with $g(D) > C_2 n$ is less than $(1 - \varepsilon)^n$. To deal with $n \leq n_0$, we require that $C_2 > n_0^2$. The number of acyclic digraphs D with $g(D) = j$ is at most

$$|P_n| \binom{N-j}{m} < n^n \binom{N-j}{m}.$$

The number with all $b_i = 1$ is

$$n! \binom{N-n+1}{m-n+1} > \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \left(\frac{m-n}{N}\right)^n \binom{N}{m} > \left(\frac{nm}{3N}\right)^n \binom{N}{m}.$$

Thus, when $n > n_0$, the fraction is less than

$$(4.2) \quad \left(\frac{3N}{m}\right)^n \sum_{j > C_2 n} \left(\frac{N-j}{N}\right)^m \leq C_3 (3/\varepsilon)^n e^{-\varepsilon C_2 n},$$

where $C_3(\varepsilon) > 0$. This is less than $(1 - \varepsilon)^n$ for sufficiently large C_2 .

We now prove the lemma. By definition and the previous paragraph,

$$(4.3) \quad A_{n,m} = \sum_T \binom{N-h(T)}{m-q(T)} \sim \sum_{g(T) \leq C_2 n} \binom{N-h(T)}{m-q(T)}$$

uniformly at a rate depending on ε . We will show that for $g(T) \leq C_2 n$,

$$\binom{N-h(T)}{q+k-q(T)} \sim \left(\frac{N-q}{q}\right)^k \binom{N-h(T)}{q-q(T)}$$

uniformly at a rate depending on $K(n)$, ε and C_2 . Combining this with (4.3) gives the theorem. Suppose that $|k| < Cn$ and $A, B, A-B > \delta n^2$. Then by Stirling's formula and $\log(1+u) = u + O(u^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\binom{A}{B+k}}{\binom{A}{B}} &\sim \frac{B^B}{(B+k)^{B+k}} \frac{(A-B)^{A-B}}{(A-B-k)^{A-B-k}} \\ &= \left(\frac{A-B}{B}\right)^k \left(1 + \frac{k}{B}\right)^{-B-k} \left(1 - \frac{k}{A-B}\right)^{-A+B+k} \\ &= \left(\frac{A-B}{B}\right)^k \exp(O(k^2/n^2)), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constants depend on C and δ . With $A = N - h(T)$ and $B = m - q(T)$, we obtain from (4.1) and $\log(1+u) = u + O(u^2)$

$$\left(\frac{A-B}{B}\right)^k = \left(\frac{N-q}{q}\right)^k \exp(O(k^2/n^2)),$$

where the implied constants depend on C_1 and ε . This completes the proof.

5. Synthesis

By Lemmas 2 and 3,

$$\frac{e^{-v^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_n(r)} A_n(r) \sim \frac{1}{K} \sum_{m=q}^{q+K-1} A_{n,m} r^m \sim \frac{1}{K} \sum_{m=q}^{q+K-1} A_{n,q} r^q = A_{n,q} r^q.$$

From Darboux's theorem and Lemma 1, $A_n(r) \sim n!(1+r)^N \varrho^{-n} C(r)$. This is uniform in r (recall the discussion after (3.2)). To complete the proof of Theorem 1, set $r = y$, $\varrho = \varrho(r)$, $\lambda = v$, noting that $v = -nw\sqrt{r/N} \sim -w\sqrt{2r}$ by (2.1) and (2.3), and that

$$\frac{(1+r)^N r^{-q} C(r)}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_n(r)} = \frac{N^N}{q^q (N-q)^{N-q} \varrho f(\lambda \varrho, r)} \sqrt{\frac{(1+r)^2}{2\pi Nr}} \sim \binom{N}{q} \frac{1}{\varrho f(\lambda \varrho, r)}.$$

References

- [1] B. V. GNEDENKO and A. N. KOLMOGOROV, *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables* (trans. from Russian by K. L. Chung), Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1954, rev. 1968).
- [2] L. HÖRMANDER, *Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1973).
- [3] E. N. LAGUERRE, Mémoire sur la théorie des équations numériques, *J. Math.* (3) 9 (1883), 99—146.

- [4] V. A. LISKOVETS, The number of maximal vertices of a random acyclic digraph (Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **20** (1975), 412—421.
- [5] G. PÓLYA and J. SCHUR, Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolgen in der Theorie der algebraischen Gleichungen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **144** (1914), 89—113.
- [6] R. W. ROBINSON, Counting labeled acyclic digraphs, *New Directions in the Theory of Graphs* (F. Harary, ed.), Academic Press, New York (1973), 239—273.
- [7] R. P. STANLEY, Acyclic orientations of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **5** (1973), 171—178.
- [8] E. M. WRIGHT, Counting coloured graph. III, *Canad. J. Math.* **24** (1972), 82—89.

Edward A. Bender

University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92 093
USA

Robert W. Robinson

Southern Illinois University
Carbondale, IL 62 901
USA

L. Bruce Richmond

University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1
Canada

Nicholas C. Wormald

University of Auckland
Auckland,
New Zealand